

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,603	08/29/2001	Yoshinobu Furuse	106145-00021	5934
75	590 10/28/2002			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-5339			EXAMINER	
			LIN, KUANG Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	r -
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/28/2002	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		G.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/940,603	FURUSE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may I. I reply within the statutory minimum of I riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N I atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 October 2002 .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unDisposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2 is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection t	- ' '			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	• •			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper of the paper of the international application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has	been received.		
Attachment(s)	,,			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/940,603 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

1. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the claim it states the process steps of "fitting a part of the frame members into a mold, wrapping the part of the frame members fitted in said mold in cast metal". However, it shall be a part of **each** of the frame members which is fitted into a mold and wrapped in cast metal, rather than just a part of the frame members.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' admitted prior art as set forth in pages 1-2 of the specification and further in view of either Ruehl et al or Leith.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/940,603

Art Unit: 1725

The admitted prior art substantially shows the invention as claimed except that it uses a welding technique instead of molding technique to join the frame members. However, both secondary references show to place frame members inside a mold cavity and then inject molten material around the joining members to join the same. Apparently, the molding technique forms a stronger joint since the molding material provide a greater joining area. In view of the prior art teachings as a whole, it would have been obvious to use the molding technique of the secondary references to join the frame members of the admitted prior art to form a stronger joint.

5. Claim 2 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruehl et al.

If the members joined by Ruehl et al are considered to be the frame members, then, it would have been obvious to use the technique of Ruehl et al to join frame members made of any metal, including an aluminum alloy.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/940,603

Art Unit: 1725

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 703-308-2322. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7719 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

October 24, 2002

KUANG Y. LIN EXAMINER GROUP 320